



Jonathan J. Newlander
Senior Counsel
San Diego Gas & Electric Company
8330 Century Park Court, CP32D
San Diego, CA 92123

Telephone: (858) 654-1652
Email: jnewlander@sdge.com

August 11, 2026

The Honorable Debbie-Anne A. Reese,
Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20426

Re: San Diego Gas & Electric Company, Amendments to Wholesale Distribution Access Tariff, FERC Electric Tariff Volume No. 6

Type of Filing Code: 10

Docket No. ER26-3482-000

Dear Secretary Reese:

Pursuant to Section 205 of the Federal Power Act (“FPA”), 16 U.S.C. § 824d, and Part 35 of the Regulations of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (“FERC” or “Commission”), San Diego Gas & Electric Company (“SDG&E”) hereby submits proposed changes to its currently effective Wholesale Distribution Access Tariff (“WDAT”), specifically its Generator Interconnection Procedures (“GIP”), which is Attachment H to the WDAT. SDG&E’s edits, as discussed herein, reflect the impact of changes proposed by the California Independent System Operator Corporation (“CAISO”) in its Interconnection Process Enhancement 5 (“IPE 5”) filing submitted on June 5, 2026, and accepted in part by the Commission on August 4, 2026.¹ As discussed below, the tariff changes reflected in CAISO’s IPE 5 filing directly apply to interconnection requests under WDAT seeking interconnection and deliverability.

Specifically, CAISO’s IPE 5 filing requires, for the first time in the upcoming 2026 Cluster Application Window, that WDAT interconnection requests seeking deliverability must submit to CAISO’s Cluster Study criteria scoring process and be evaluated with Transmission-interconnected interconnection requests received by CAISO in the same annual Cluster Application Window. Because of this, SDG&E needs its WDAT GIP to include all relevant

¹ See generally *California Independent System Operator Corporation*, 196 FERC ¶ 61,101 (2026).

changes to incorporate the inclusion of WDAT interconnection requests into the CAISO Cluster Study criteria scoring process. The IPE 5 filing changes create follow-through edits to multiple steps in the current WDAT Cluster Study process, such as a proposed change to the WDAT Cluster Application Window dates and moving the due dates of several deposits and other steps to “no later than the close of the Customer Engagement Window.” In addition, SDG&E is including a limited number of additional CAISO-driven changes to its interconnection procedures from previous IPE filings, where noted in Section II of this transmittal letter, which will allow SDG&E to have a complete synchronization *viz-a-viz* the CAISO interconnection procedures prior to commencement of the WDAT Cluster Study. The tariff changes proposed herein are just and reasonable because they will promote alignment between the interconnection procedures contained in SDG&E’s WDAT and CAISO’s tariff.

Notably, this filing does not include every change to SDG&E’s WDAT that will ultimately be necessary to align with CAISO’s IPE 5 and previous IPE filings. Rather, in this filing SDG&E proposes only those tariff revisions that it believes need to be in place prior to the planned opening of SDG&E’s Cluster Application Window on September 11, 2026. SDG&E intends to submit a further Section 205 filing to propose additional tariff revisions after the need to make time-sensitive changes for the upcoming Cluster Application Window opening has passed. As discussed in Section III below, SDG&E respectfully requests waiver of the 60-day prior notice requirement to permit an effective date of September 10, 2026, for the targeted tariff changes proposed here.

I. BACKGROUND & DESCRIPTION OF FILING

SDG&E is a California public utility corporation with its principal place of business at 8330 Century Park Court, San Diego, California 92123. SDG&E is engaged in the transmission, distribution, and sale of energy services to approximately 3.7 million consumers in San Diego and Orange Counties, California, pursuant to regulation by this Commission and by the California Public Utilities Commission (“CPUC”). SDG&E is a Participating Transmission Owner in and has transferred operational control of its transmission system to CAISO.

SDG&E’s WDAT contains the terms and conditions under which SDG&E provides open access to its distribution system for wholesale customers seeking to interconnect generating facilities (including storage resources and generating facilities with storage) and for Load-Serving Entities (“LSE”) to interconnect wholesale loads to the distribution system. The WDAT also governs the delivery, via the utility’s distribution system, of energy and capacity services from a generating facility or to an LSE between specified points of receipt and delivery, one of which must be on the CAISO-controlled transmission system.

SDG&E desires to maintain its WDAT interconnection procedures and related agreements in tight synchronization with the CAISO interconnection procedures and related agreements due to the interrelated nature of the interconnection process. SDG&E and CAISO teams work closely together throughout the interconnection process because Distribution-level interconnections can and often do create impacts on the upstream transmission system, which is subject to CAISO’s operational control. There are many handoffs and other interactions between

CAISO and SDG&E staff members throughout the interconnection process, making tight synchronization between the CAISO interconnection procedures and related agreements and the WDAT a key driver to an efficient and effective WDAT interconnection process. This synchronization is even more important now that CAISO has required WDAT interconnection requests to submit to its Cluster Study criteria scoring process to determine eligibility for inclusion in the WDAT Cluster Study process for those interconnection requests seeking deliverability on the CAISO Grid. Therefore, the tariff revisions included in this Section 205 filing are focused on ensuring synchronization with the CAISO interconnection procedures, as revised through IPE 5, regarding the CAISO Cluster Study criteria scoring process and related activities prior to commencement of the WDAT Cluster Study.

II. THE CAISO CLUSTER STUDY CRITERIA SCORING PROCESS AND RELATED IMPACTS TO THE CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT WINDOW ACTIVITIES

SDG&E views the synchronization with the CAISO interconnection procedures and related agreements as critical to maintaining an efficient and effective WDAT interconnection process. This means more than SDG&E maintaining the same timelines for deposits and studies, although those are important. Using similar terms and processes also provides familiarity and levels the playing field for interconnection customers.

SDG&E is concerned about possible adverse impacts from a lack of alignment between CAISO and SDG&E processes. Consider, for example, if SDG&E did not adopt the CAISO's Cluster Study criteria scoring process. SDG&E is concerned that the result would be that WDAT interconnection requests seeking deliverability could obtain unfettered access to the WDAT Cluster Study process instead of the gated access that transmission-level interconnection requests have to the CAISO Cluster Study process. If this were to occur, SDG&E's WDAT interconnection queue could become inundated with new requests from those developers seeking to avoid being subject to the filtering that CAISO's scoring process is intended to provide. In turn, this could lead to SDG&E's WDAT interconnection process facing the same challenge that CAISO has struggled with for years, and which the Commission itself attempted to remedy in Order No. 2023: a clogged interconnection queue with too much generation that, in turn, creates challenges for performing interconnection studies and ultimately leads to unrealistic study results. SDG&E sees the benefit of reducing the size of the queue to be studied, even if it means that not all projects that submit complete and valid interconnection requests will be eligible for the study process. SDG&E concurs with CAISO that such winnowing should occur at intake rather than after committing substantial resources to produce interconnection studies for projects with questionable commercial prospects.

Given the foregoing, and to ensure alignment with CAISO's process, SDG&E is adding several steps to the WDAT GIP to synchronize with the CAISO scoring of Interconnection Requests that determines eligibility for participating in the Cluster Study process. In turn, this requires follow-through tariff revisions related to the intake scoring and its impact on the timing of other initial process steps leading up to the commencement of the Cluster Study. These revisions include advancing the open/close dates of SDG&E's Cluster Application Window and

changing the deadline for posting of the commercial readiness deposit, cluster study agreement, and site control deposit (if applicable) until after the scoring process has completed. With these revisions, only those projects that are eligible for study will be required to execute the study agreement and post any required deposits.

SDG&E's goal in this filing is to ensure the WDAT GIP is fully aligned with the relevant CAISO procedures in preparation for and prior to the opening of the next WDAT Cluster Application Window, which is planned for September 11, 2026. The following edits are needed to fulfill that goal:

A. INCORPORATING THE CAISO CLUSTER STUDY CRITERIA, INTAKE SCORING PROCESS TO WDAT INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS SEEKING DELIVERABILITY, AND FOLLOW-THROUGH EDITS TO THE CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT WINDOW ACTIVITIES THAT ARE RELATED TO THIS ADDITION.

SDG&E proposes the following tariff changes, arranged in ascending order by GIP Section:

1. In Section **1.3.1 General**, SDG&E is removing the requirement for interconnection customers to include an executed Cluster Study Agreement with the interconnection request, because the Cluster Study Agreement execution deadline was moved by CAISO to the Customer Engagement Window as discussed elsewhere herein. Additional edits to this section are discussed in Section II.B below.
2. In Section **4.1 Timing for Submitting Interconnection Requests into the Cluster Application Window**, SDG&E is changing the opening and closing date of its Cluster Application Window for WDAT interconnection requests. The 15-day window will now open on September 11 and close on September 25 (versus the October 1 to October 15 window in the current GIP). SDG&E proposes this change to align its application window with that of the two other California IOUs, that have already filed or will soon file to use the same application window timeframe. The goal is to allow time to evaluate those WDAT interconnection requests seeking deliverability for completeness prior to being evaluated in CAISO's Cluster Study criteria scoring process. Shifting the window will allow SDG&E to fully compile its list of complete WDAT interconnection requests that are seeking deliverability prior to informing CAISO which WDAT interconnection requests will be subject to the scoring process. In turn, this will allow Interconnection Customers seeking deliverability a few extra days to prepare to submit to CAISO the required Cluster Study criteria (also referred to as Appendix 2 of Appendix KK to the CAISO Tariff) during CAISO's open cluster application window, which spans from October 1 to October 15, 2026. Staggering SDG&E's and

CAISO's windows by a few days should lead to a more efficient and less chaotic intake process.

3. SDG&E is removing **Section 4.2.1 (v) Commercial Readiness Deposit** and moving it to new **Section 4.2.3.7**, which makes posting of the Commercial Readiness Deposit one of the Customer Engagement Window activities. This move is consistent with the CAISO proposing this same deadline in its IPE 5 filing, so that only those projects that are determined through the Cluster Study criteria scoring process to be eligible for the Cluster Study will be required to post the Commercial Readiness Deposit. This move will eliminate wasteful posting of costly Commercial Readiness Deposits for projects that will not be studied.
4. SDG&E is adding a paragraph to **Section 4.2.1 Initiating an Interconnection Request** that states for any Interconnection Request seeking Full Capacity Deliverability Status or Partial Capacity Deliverability Status, a separate application will be required to CAISO's online application portal during an open CAISO Cluster Application Window, and, in particular, should include the Cluster Study criteria (also referred to as Appendix 2 of Appendix KK to the CAISO Tariff). The Cluster Study criteria is used by CAISO to score interconnection requests to determine whether an interconnection request seeking deliverability is eligible to be studied in the Cluster Studies and receive a TP Deliverability Assessment. To avoid having to amend the WDAT GIP every time the CAISO changes its Cluster Study criteria scoring process, SDG&E is incorporating much of that process by reference to Section 4.2 of Appendix KK of the CAISO Tariff, instead of reproducing the CAISO language *verbatim* in the GIP. Finally, adding a sentence that states that further instructions regarding application to Distribution Provider and CAISO are included in the Interconnection Request Form found in Appendix 1 to the GIP (which edits are discussed in Section II.B herein).
5. SDG&E is changing the existing requirement found in **Section 4.2.1 Initiating an Interconnection Request** for the Distribution Provider to forward a copy of the complete Interconnection Request to CAISO. This step is no longer required because the Interconnection Customer will now make separate application to the CAISO and Distribution Provider (applicable to those Interconnection Requests seeking Deliverability). Instead, SDG&E is editing this section to reflect Distribution Provider notifying the CAISO of any Interconnection Request that is seeking deliverability within five (5) business days of being deemed complete so that the CAISO can confirm receipt of Cluster Study criteria scoring data for those WDAT interconnection requests that are subject to the Cluster Study criteria scoring process.
6. In **Section 4.2.1.3 Site Control and Site Control Deposit**, SDG&E is making a follow-through edit to the due date for any deposit in lieu of site control,

moving it to “no later than the close of the Customer Engagement Window”. This move is consistent with moving other steps to the Customer Engagement Window, such that a deposit in lieu of site control is only made by interconnection requests that are found eligible for the cluster study as a result of the CAISO Cluster Study criteria scoring process, thus avoiding deposits from projects that will not be studied.

7. SDG&E proposes to create a section heading for new **Section 4.2.3.1 Opening the Customer Engagement Window** and edit the section to change the duration of the Customer Engagement Window to account for the new Cluster Application Window being moved to September 11 to September 25. The new one-hundred-ten day period (increased from 90 days) aligns with the end of the CAISO Customer Engagement Window and subsequent start of the Cluster Study. In addition, SDG&E proposes removing discussion of the Scoping Meeting from this section by moving it into its own sub-section. Finally, adding language to the final sentence in this section regarding Distribution Provider and CAISO consulting on the Cluster Study criteria scoring process.
8. SDG&E proposes including a sub-section heading for new **Section 4.2.3.2 Cluster Study Agreement** out of existing Section 4.2.3, to change the due date for Interconnection Requests to execute the Cluster Study Agreement to no later than the close of the Customer Engagement Window. The proposed language states that only those Interconnection Requests seeking deliverability that are deemed eligible for the Cluster Study via the Cluster Study criteria scoring process, along with any Interconnection Requests that are not seeking deliverability, are required to execute the Cluster Study Agreement prior to the close of the Customer Engagement Window. Likewise, editing the sentence which lists out all items required to be included in the Cluster Study, which will now include: 1) a complete and valid Interconnection Request, 2) an executed Cluster Study Agreement, and 3) eligibility for the study per the CAISO Cluster Study criteria scoring process (if seeking deliverability). Finally, adjusting several section numbers and related section references resulting from these newly inserted sections.
9. In newly renumbered **Section 4.2.3.3 Validation Process**, SDG&E proposes adding a phrase in alignment with Section 3.5.2.1 of Appendix KK of the CAISO Tariff regarding the due date for validation of the interconnection request being the later of 10 Business Days from the close of the Cluster Application Window or when the Interconnection Request satisfies the Cluster Study criteria.
10. SDG&E proposes adding a new **Section 4.2.3.5 Cluster Study Criteria Scoring Process Results**, which states the location of the criteria and procedures (in Section 4 of Appendix KK to the CAISO Tariff) whereby

CAISO will score Interconnection Requests seeking deliverability that are received in the Cluster Application Window to determine eligibility for the Cluster Study. As part of the activities during the Customer Engagement Window, SDG&E and CAISO will coordinate efforts to compile the list of Interconnection Requests subject to the scoring process following the close of the WDAT Cluster Application Window. After that list is finalized and communicated, Distribution Provider and other Load Serving Entities will submit commercial interest points to CAISO applicable to those Interconnection Requests on the scoring list no later than 10 business days after receipt of the list. CAISO will then perform the scoring analysis and notify Interconnection Customers and Distribution Provider of the results of the scoring process no later than the close of the Customer Engagement Window. As a follow-through edit based on the content of this new section, SDG&E is adding the term **Load Serving Entity** to the definitions in **Attachment 1 Glossary of Terms**.

11. SDG&E proposes a new **Section 4.2.3.6 Scoping Meeting** to reflect that the scoping meeting will be held after the Cluster Study scoring criteria process is completed by the CAISO, which will now occur no later than the close of the Customer Engagement Window. Similar to the change in the timing of deposits, this change allows the scoping meeting only to be held with interconnection customers having interconnection requests that meet the criteria to be studied.
12. As mentioned earlier, SDG&E is changing the due date of the Commercial Readiness Deposit to “no later than the close of the Customer Engagement Window” as set forth in new **Section 4.2.3.7 Commercial Readiness Deposit Timing**. This change is helpful because it will avoid costly security postings for projects that will not be studied. Interconnection requests not seeking deliverability will have this same due date for this deposit posting.
13. A follow-through change to **Section 4.3.1 Timing** SDG&E adds that in order to participate in the Scoping Meeting, Interconnection Requests seeking deliverability must first satisfy the Cluster Study scoring criteria. Further, adding a sentence aligned with CAISO Appendix KK Section 3.5.2 that states that Scoping Meetings will be segmented by Transmission Zone and Cluster Study criteria that was added in the IPE Track 2 filing².
14. In **Section 4.3.2 Purpose**, SDG&E proposes removing the phrase “alternative interconnection options” as a follow-through edit to reflect the fact that the

² Interconnection Process Enhancements Tariff Amendment, California Independent System Operator Corporation, in Docket No. ER24-2671 (August 1, 2024) (“IPE Track 2”).

grouped nature of Scoping Meeting will not permit discussion of project-specific items such as alternative interconnection options. SDG&E also proposes removing the phrase “On the basis of the meeting, Interconnection Customer(s) shall designate its Point of Interconnection[.]” which was removed by CAISO in the IPE Track 2 filing. Removing these phrases is appropriate to set expectations as to the topics discussed in the Scoping Meeting.

15. As a follow-through edit, SDG&E proposes to remove details from **Section 4.4 Cluster Study Agreement**, because SDG&E is now requiring the Cluster Study Agreement to be executed no later than the close of the Customer Engagement Window. In addition, as execution of the Cluster Study Agreement is already discussed in newly created sub-Section 4.2.3.3, removing duplicative and now unnecessary procedures regarding the execution of the Cluster Study Agreement from **Section 4.4.1**.
16. As it pertains to Customer Engagement Window activities, SDG&E is revising **Section 4.5.7.2.2 Modifications Proposed Prior to the Close of the Customer Engagement Window**, with follow-through edits that conform to the CAISO Appendix KK Section 6.7.2.2 that were made in the IPE Track 2 filing. This includes removing the 60% downsizing maximum in item (a) and removing items (e) and (f) from the list of allowed modifications because CAISO removed these options (specifically, that an interconnection customer can no longer change the POI or its deliverability status) in the IPE Track 2 filing.
17. SDG&E proposes adding a new **Section 10 CAISO Cluster Study Criteria and Scoring Process**, which includes:
 - a. A lead-in paragraph that references Section 4 of Appendix KK to the CAISO Tariff, as it is revised and amended from time to time, with relevant excerpts included in Section 10 of the WDAT GIP.
 - b. A paragraph that explains that only Interconnection Requests seeking deliverability that meet the criteria in Section 4 of Appendix KK along with Interconnection Requests not seeking deliverability will proceed to the Cluster Study. Any others that are determined by CAISO to be ineligible for the Cluster Study or otherwise fail to comply with the Cluster Study criteria scoring process will be deemed withdrawn.
 - c. A paragraph that refers Interconnection Customers to Section 4 of Appendix KK to the CAISO Tariff for detailed instructions and procedures surrounding the CAISO Cluster Study criteria scoring process. As this process is entirely administered by CAISO,

SDG&E is incorporating it by reference and is not including it *verbatim* in its GIP.

B. PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE PRE-APPLICATION AND APPLICATION PROCESS THAT SDG&E WISHES TO BE IN PLACE BY THE OPENING OF THE CLUSTER APPLICATION WINDOW.

Although not directly tied to the Cluster Study criteria scoring process and follow-through edits discussed in Section A above, SDG&E is proposing additional minor changes to its GIP that clarify pre-application activities and provide more detailed instructions to Interconnection Customers on how to request a Pre-Application Report and how to submit the Interconnection Request. SDG&E believes adding these instructions now will provide improved guidance to Interconnection Customers as they prepare to submit Interconnection Requests. SDG&E believes having such edits in place before the opening of the Cluster Application Window on September 11, 2026, will provide greater certainty to customers and the utility, thus promoting overall process effectiveness. These edits include the following:

1. In **Section 1.2.2**, SDG&E is proposing to add clarifying instructions to interconnection customers requesting a Pre-Application Report.
2. In **Section 1.3.1 General**, SDG&E is proposing to add clarifying instructions regarding the submission of the Interconnection Request, including adding the URL for SDG&E's online interconnection portal. Additional edits in this section are consistent with various IPE filings by CAISO (the equivalent CAISO Appendix KK section is Section 3.1) and which include the following:
 - a. Removing language that states that alternative Points of Interconnection ("POI") and configurations will be discussed at a Scoping Meeting. This language is no longer relevant because the grouped nature of Scoping Meetings as specified in Order No. 2023 will not permit discussion of project-specific information such as alternative POI or configurations.
 - b. Adjusting the due date for selection of the definitive POI to no later than the close of the Customer Engagement Window, consistent with the IPE Track 2 filing, so that all parties will have clarity on what POI will be studied before the study commences.
 - c. Finally, adding an IPE 5-related edit to this section which allows the Distribution Provider to propose changes to the POI to facilitate efficient interconnection, which includes the phrase "within the same Transmission Zone" as set forth in Section 3.1 of Appendix KK to the CAISO Tariff.

3. SDG&E is proposing minor changes to **Section 4.10 Transition Issues**, to delineate clearly that Section 4.10 only applies to transition issues pertinent at the time of SDG&E's compliance filings related to FERC Order No. 2023. Each of the sub-sections within this section apply only to Interconnection Requests that were active at the time of the effective date of the GIP as requested in SDG&E's Order No. 2023 compliance filings. Any changes to the GIP based on the instant filing will be effective on the effective date requested by SDG&E, subject to acceptance by and/or authorization from FERC. There are no substantive transition issues stemming from this Section 205 filing.
4. SDG&E is proposing minor updates to the interconnection request form, which is found in **Appendix 1 to the GIP**. The edits include adding instructions and guidance for using SDG&E's online interconnection portal, locations of the URL for SDG&E's online interconnection portal, or alternatively the (corrected) email address to submit applications. Minor updates to the body of Appendix 1 such as removing the need for multiple copies of the form (because the form is now submitted electronically, multiple hard copies are no longer required). Minor clarifying edits to Section 3 of Appendix 1 that clarify that deliverability is requested as MW of electrical output (not "deliverability capacity", which term is erroneous) and that clarify that Fast Track projects may only select Energy Only deliverability status. Additional update to the **Section 3: Deliverability Status** portion of **Appendix 1** which adds the URL for the CAISO online application tool to assist Interconnection Customers seeking deliverability to know where to apply to CAISO for the CAISO Cluster Study scoring criteria process.
5. Finally, SDG&E is updating the **Table of Contents to the GIP** to reflect these changes.

III. EFFECTIVE DATE AND REQUEST FOR WAIVER

SDG&E requests an effective date of September 10, 2026, which will permit the tariff revisions contained in this filing to become effective prior to the opening of the next WDAT Cluster Application Window, which is scheduled to open on September 11, 2026, and close on September 25, 2026. This requested effective date of September 10 is desired because it will allow SDG&E to effectively administer the next WDAT Cluster Application Window. It will do so by setting clear expectations for those WDAT interconnection requests seeking deliverability that the CAISO Cluster Study criteria scoring process will apply equally to all WDAT interconnection requests seeking deliverability that enter the WDAT Cluster Application Window. On the other hand, an effective date based on the full 60-day prior notice period would result in a mid-October effective date, which would leave WDAT interconnection requests seeking deliverability unable to apply in SDG&E's 2026 WDAT Cluster Application Window. This is because, as of that date, the window would be closed, and retroactive applications are not allowed under the WDAT GIP. In this case, the next opportunity to apply would not occur until

2027. Thus, the advantages to interconnection customers and SDG&E alike of an earlier effective date support the requested departure from the ordinary 60-day prior notice requirement.

Importantly, the requested effective date will not occur until after the close of the 20-day comment period in this proceeding, thus providing the public with notice of and an opportunity to be heard regarding the changes prior to them becoming effective. Good cause exists to waive the 60-day prior notice requirements as the proposed tariff changes are necessary to ensure alignment between SDG&E's WDAT GIP and the CAISO IPE 5 reforms for the upcoming WDAT Cluster Application Window. This filing thus serves the interests of customers, the utility, and the public, by promoting an orderly intake process for FERC-jurisdictional interconnections to SDG&E's distribution system.

IV. ENCLOSURES

Enclosed for filing are an eTariff Electronic Filing Package containing all required Tariff Record Content Data and metadata as well as the following Filing Attachments in PDF for posting on eLibrary:

- a. A clean version of Attachment H, which is the WDAT GIP, reflecting the proposed changes; and
- b. A redlined version of Attachment H, reflecting the proposed changes.

V. COMMUNICATIONS

Correspondence and other communications concerning this filing should be addressed to:

Jonathan J. Newlander
Senior Counsel
San Diego Gas & Electric Company
8330 Century Park Court, CP32D
San Diego, CA 92123
Phone: 858-654-1652
Fax: 619-699-5027
E-mail: jnewlander@sdge.com

Pamela Mills
Regulatory Policy Manager
San Diego Gas & Electric Company
8330 Century Park Court, CP32H
San Diego, CA 92123
Phone: 858-637-3746
Fax: 619-699-5150
E-mail: pmills@sdge.com

VI. SERVICE

SDG&E has served copies of this filing upon the CPUC and CAISO.

VII. CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, for the foregoing reasons, SDG&E respectfully requests that the Commission accept the proposed revisions to SDG&E's WDAT, effective as of September 10, 2026.

Hon. Debbie-Anne A. Reese, Secretary
August 11, 2026
Page 12

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Jonathan J. Newlander

Jonathan J. Newlander

Attorney for San Diego Gas & Electric Company

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, pursuant to Rule 2010 of FERC's Regulations, 18 C.F.R. § 385.2010, I have this day served the foregoing document upon the following representatives of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California and the California Independent System Operator Corporation:

NAME	ORGANIZATION/ADDRESS	E-MAIL ADDRESS
Christine J. Hammond Assistant General Counsel	California Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102	Christine.hammond@cpuc.ca.gov
Anthony Ivancovich Deputy General Counsel	California Independent System Operator Corporation 250 Outcropping Way Folsom, CA 95630	aivancovich@caiso.com
Andrew Ulmer Assistant General Counsel	California Independent System Operator Corporation 250 Outcropping Way Folsom, CA 95630	aulmer@caiso.com

Dated at San Diego, California, this ____th day of August, 2026.

/s/ Tamara Grabowski

Tamara Grabowski